

1.5 The Maximum Period of a Multiplicative Generator

A multiplicative generator $x_n = ax_{n-1} \bmod m$ never has period m since the output 0 reproduces itself. So what is the largest possible period? In the following proposition λ is the CARMICHAEL function, and this is exactly the context where it occurred for the first time.

Proposition 2 (CARMICHAEL 1910) *The maximum period of a multiplicative generator with generating function $s(x) = ax \bmod m$ is $\lambda(m)$. A sufficient condition for the period $\lambda(m)$ is:*

- (i) a is primitive mod m .
- (ii) x_0 is relatively prime to m .

Proof. We have $x_n = a^n x_0 \bmod m$. If $k = \text{ord}_m a$ is the order of a in the multiplicative group of $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, then $x_k = x_0$. Thus the period is $\leq k \leq \lambda(m)$. Now assume a is primitive mod m , hence $1, a, \dots, a^{\lambda(m)-1} \bmod m$ are distinct, and let x_0 be relatively prime to m . Then the x_n are distinct for $n = 0, \dots, \lambda(m) - 1$, and the period is $\lambda(m)$. \diamond

Corollary 1 *Let $m = p$ prime. Then the generator has the maximum period $\lambda(p) = p - 1$ if and only if:*

- (i) a is primitive mod p .
- (ii) $x_0 \neq 0$.

Thus for prime modules we are in a comfortable situation: The period misses the maximum value for one-step recursive generators only by 1, and any initial value is good except 0.

Section [1.9](#) will broadly generalize this result.

How to find a primitive element is comprehensively discussed in Appendix A of Part III.