

Uniformly distributed Random Variables in Groups

– Another View at the One Time Pad –

Klaus Pommerening
Fachbereich Mathematik
der Johannes-Gutenberg-Universität
Saarstraße 21
D-55099 Mainz

27 Jun 1994, english version 26 Nov 2011

Theorem 1 *Let G be a group with a finite, translation invariant measure μ and Ω , a probability space. Let $X, Y : \Omega \rightarrow G$ be random variables, X uniformly distributed, and X, Y independent. Let $Z = X * Y$ (where $*$ is the group law of composition). Then:*

- (i) Z is uniformly distributed.
- (ii) Y and Z are independent.

Comment The independency of X and Y means that

$$P(X^{-1}A \cap Y^{-1}B) = P(X^{-1}A) \cdot P(Y^{-1}B) \quad \text{for all measurable } A, B \subseteq G.$$

The uniform distribution of X means that

$$P(X^{-1}A) = \frac{\mu(A)}{\mu(G)} \quad \text{for all measurable } A \subseteq G.$$

In particular the measure P_X on G with $P_X(A) = P(X^{-1}A)$ is translation invariant, if μ is so.

Remark Z is a random variable because $Z = m^{-1} \circ (X, Y)$ with $m = *$, the group law of composition. This is measurable because its g -sections,

$$(m^{-1}A)_g = \{h \in G \mid gh \in A\}$$

are all measurable, and the function

$$g \mapsto \mu(m^{-1}A)_g = \mu(g^{-1}A) = \mu(A)$$

is also measurable. A weak form of FUBINI's theorem gives that $m^{-1}A \subseteq G \times G$ is measurable, and

$$(\mu \otimes \mu)(m^{-1}A) = \int_G (m^{-1}A)_g \, dg = \mu(A) \int_G dg = \mu(A)\mu(G).$$

Application In Cryptography, considering bitstream ciphers, we take $G = \mathbb{F}_2^n$, the set of all sequences of n bits, and interpret the plaintext $y_1 \dots y_n$ as a realisation of Y . The key $x_1 \dots x_n$ is taken as a realisation of a uniformly distributed random variable X . Then the bitwise sum (XOR) Z of X and Y is indistinguishable from a completely random bit sequence, and stochastically independent from the plaintext Y . Therefore any statistical analysis by a cryptanalyst must be futile.

More generally this consideration holds for an aperiodic polyalphabetic cipher over any group.

Counterexamples We analyse whether the conditions of the theorem can be weakened.

1. What if we don't assume X is uniformly distributed? As an example take $X = \mathbf{1}$ (unity element of group) constant and Y arbitrary; then X and Y are independent, but $Z = Y$ in general is not uniformly distributed nor independent from Y .
2. What if we don't assume X and Y are independent? As an example take $Y = X^{-1}$ (the group inverse); the product $Z = \mathbf{1}$ in general is not uniformly distributed. Choosing $Y = X$ we get $Z = X^2$ that in general is not uniformly distributed nor independent from Y . (More concrete example: $\Omega = G = \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$, $X = \text{identity map}$, $Z = \text{squaring map}$.)

General proof of the Theorem

Consider the product map

$$(X, Y): \Omega \longrightarrow G \times G$$

and the extended composition

$$\sigma: G \times G \longrightarrow G \times G, \quad (g, h) \mapsto (g * h, h).$$

For $A, B \subseteq G$ we have (by definition of the product probability)

$$(P_X \otimes P_Y)(A \times B) = P_X(A) \cdot P_Y(B) = P(X^{-1}A) \cdot P(Y^{-1}B);$$

because X and Y are independent we may continue this equation:

$$\begin{aligned} &= P(X^{-1}A \cap Y^{-1}B) = P\{\omega \mid X\omega \in A, Y\omega \in B\} \\ &= P((X, Y)^{-1}(A \times B)) = P_{(X, Y)}(A \times B). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $P_{(X, Y)} = P_X \otimes P_Y$, and for $S \subseteq G \times G$ we apply FUBINI's theorem:

$$P_{(X, Y)}(S) = \int_{h \in G} P_X(S_h) \cdot P_Y(dh).$$

Especially for $S = \sigma^{-1}(A \times B)$ we get

$$S_h = \{g \in G \mid (g * h, h) \in A \times B\} = \begin{cases} A * h^{-1}, & \text{if } h \in B, \\ \emptyset & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

$$P_X(S_h) = \begin{cases} P_X(A * h^{-1}) = \frac{\mu(A)}{\mu(G)}, & \text{if } h \in B, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} P(Z^{-1}A \cap Y^{-1}B) &= P\{\omega \in \Omega \mid X(\omega) * Y(\omega) \in A, Y(\omega) \in B\} \\ &= P((X, Y)^{-1}S) = P_{(X, Y)}(S) \\ &= \int_{h \in B} \frac{\mu(A)}{\mu(G)} \cdot P_Y(dh) = \frac{\mu(A)}{\mu(G)} \cdot P(Y^{-1}B). \end{aligned}$$

Setting $B = G$ we conclude $P(Z^{-1}A) = \frac{\mu(A)}{\mu(G)}$, which gives (i), and from this we immediately conclude

$$P(Z^{-1}A \cap Y^{-1}B) = P(Z^{-1}A) \cdot P(Y^{-1}B)$$

which proves also (ii). \diamond

Proof for countable groups

In the above proof we used general measure theory, but the idea was fairly simple. Therefore we repeat the proof for the countable case, where integrals become sums and the argumentation is elementary. For the cryptographic application the measure spaces are even finite, so this elementary proof is completely adequate.

Lemma 1 *Let $G, \Omega, X, Y,$ and Z be as in the theorem. Then*

$$Z^{-1}(A) \cap Y^{-1}(B) = \bigcup_{h \in B} [X^{-1}(A * h^{-1}) \cap Y^{-1}h]$$

for all measurable $A, B \subseteq G$.

The proof follows from the equations

$$\begin{aligned}
Z^{-1}A &= (X, Y)^{-1}\{(g, h) \in G \times G \mid g * h \in A\} \\
&= (X, Y)^{-1} \left[\bigcup_{h \in G} A * h^{-1} \times \{h\} \right] \\
&= \bigcup_{h \in G} (X, Y)^{-1}(A * h^{-1} \times \{h\}) \\
&= \bigcup_{h \in G} [X^{-1}(A * h^{-1}) \cap Y^{-1}h], \\
Z^{-1}A \cap Y^{-1}B &= \bigcup_{h \in G} [X^{-1}(A * h^{-1}) \cap Y^{-1}h \cap Y^{-1}B] \\
&= \bigcup_{h \in B} [X^{-1}(A * h^{-1}) \cap Y^{-1}h].
\end{aligned}$$

Now let G be countable. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
P(Z^{-1}A \cap Y^{-1}B) &= \sum_{h \in B} P[X^{-1}(A * h^{-1}) \cap Y^{-1}h] \\
&= \sum_{h \in B} P[X^{-1}(A * h^{-1})] \cdot P[Y^{-1}h] \quad (\text{because } X, Y \text{ are independent}) \\
&= \sum_{h \in B} \frac{\mu(A * h^{-1})}{\mu(G)} \cdot P[Y^{-1}h] \quad (\text{because } X \text{ is uniformly distributed}) \\
&= \frac{\mu(A)}{\mu(G)} \cdot \sum_{h \in B} P[Y^{-1}h] \\
&= \frac{\mu(A)}{\mu(G)} \cdot P \left[\bigcup_{h \in B} Y^{-1}h \right] \\
&= \frac{\mu(A)}{\mu(G)} \cdot P(Y^{-1}B).
\end{aligned}$$

Setting $B = G$ we get $P(Z^{-1}A) = \frac{\mu(A)}{\mu(G)}$, which gives (i), and immediately conclude

$$P(Z^{-1}A \cap Y^{-1}B) = P(Z^{-1}A) \cdot P(Y^{-1}B),$$

which proves (ii). \diamond